



Decision on on the People in our Venues

Rapporteur

Isak Lefvert, President

Problem

There have historically been no strict rules regarding who is allowed in our venues and to what degree. The motivation for this has been that it is essential that UF is perceived as an open and transparent association where everyone is welcome. When the doors to the common spaces and our office are locked, it has also been regarded as a privilege to the board members and the trustee to access the venues for their purposes.

The last president took an initiative to make some more formal rules. The reason to this was that during the previous year, many brought their big friend groups and it became more difficult to do UF work and hold meetings. The loose and unwritten policy of last year was that our office, and the other meeting rooms in the common spaces, should be prioritized for meetings. Both members and trustees were welcome to use the facilities as they liked, but for others they were welcome to sit in our venues only for UF work and activities.

This year, we also face the pandemic and its consequential rules on Ekonomikum, where we in our venues are expected to not exceed the half number limit for all rooms, in line with the rest of all halls at Ekonomikum. Also, we had a theft of a camera in our office last May. Either this was because of someone with access forgetting to lock the door, or it was someone with access who took the camera.

The questions are then whether the problems outlined above remain, are more or less urgent, and what solutions we then can propose as a board. It should also be discussed how urgently we should try to obey the possible proposals.

Options

The board decide to vote on the matter, with the options being:

I. Non-members are welcome if accompanying a board member or trustee



2. Non-members are not welcome
3. Non-members are welcome if they become a member

The board decides to adopt option number 3.