



Material for Discussion on Annual Meeting Rules

Proposals

to propose an Annual Meeting including the following:

Candidate speech: 1 or 2 min

Debate remarks: 1 or 2 min, 1 min or 30s for reply

Election Committee 1 or 2 min

1 Supporting plea or not?

“Commenting” time 5 or 8 min

Problem

As of now, we have no standard annual meeting procedure in UF. An annual meeting procedure is ultimately decided by the annual meeting, which can choose whenever to divert from it, but procedural matters can be subject to long discussions at annual meetings. When we are facing a substantial meeting, with the intent to make it a smooth and engaging meeting for all participants, we'd ideally like to propose some clear rules to the meeting, so that candidates can prepare and so that there will not be too much discussion on procedure during the actual meeting.

Most of the procedural rules are standard, used in all similar associations, and are not too controversial: voting procedure, speakers list, etc. Some issues are however often discussed: speaking time on various points, the right to plead for a candidate. The main conflicts here revolve around that long debates or speeches from a candidate makes a meeting go on forever, but are also important parts of said meeting. Furthermore, whilst a candidate who is nominated by the election committee gets a solid pitch, the counter-candidates would normally like others speaking on their behalf.

Discussion Topics

Speaking time:

Candidate - 1 or 2 or 3 min shorter

Election committee/supporting plea - 1 or 2 min

Remark in debate 1 or 2 or 3 min

Special rules for reply/second remark - Yes/No

Number of supporting pleas: 0/1/2

20210513



Supporting plea or time for endorsements

Rapporteur

Isak Lefvert, President